Carnegie Mellon University

Ouery Execution – Part I





ADMINISTRIVIA

Homework #3 is due Wed Oct 9th @ 11:59pm

Mid-Term Exam is Wed Oct 16th @ 12:00pm

Project #2 is due Sun Oct 20th @ 11:59pm



QUERY PLAN

The operators are arranged in a tree.

Data flows from the leaves of the tree up towards the root.

The output of the root node is the result of the query.

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100



TODAY'S AGENDA

Processing Models Access Methods Expression Evaluation





PROCESSING MODEL

A DBMS's processing model defines how the system executes a query plan.
→ Different trade-offs for different workloads.

Approach #1: Iterator Model Approach #2: Materialization Model Approach #3: Vectorized / Batch Model



Each query plan operator implements a **Next** function.

- → On each invocation, the operator returns either a single tuple or a null marker if there are no more tuples.
- → The operator implements a loop that calls next on its children to retrieve their tuples and then process them.

Also called **Volcano** or **Pipeline** Model.





CMU 15-445/645 (Fall 2019)



CMU 15-445/645 (Fall 2019)



CMU 15-445/645 (Fall 2019)





This is used in almost every DBMS. Allows for tuple **<u>pipelining</u>**.

Some operators have to block until their children emit all of their tuples.

 \rightarrow Joins, Subqueries, Order By

Output control works easily with this approach.



MATERIALIZATION MODEL

Each operator processes its input all at once and then emits its output all at once.

- \rightarrow The operator "materializes" its output as a single result.
- \rightarrow The DBMS can push down hints into to avoid scanning too many tuples.
- \rightarrow Can send either a materialized row or a single column.

The output can be either whole tuples (NSM) or subsets of columns (DSM)







10



MATERIALIZATION MODEL

Better for OLTP workloads because queries only access a small number of tuples at a time.

- \rightarrow Lower execution / coordination overhead.
- \rightarrow Fewer function calls.

Not good for OLAP queries with large intermediate results.





VECTORIZATION MODEL

Like the Iterator Model where each operator implements a **Next** function in this model.

- Each operator emits a **<u>batch</u>** of tuples instead of a single tuple.
- \rightarrow The operator's internal loop processes multiple tuples at a time.
- \rightarrow The size of the batch can vary based on hardware or query properties.





¹³

VECTORIZATION MODEL

Ideal for OLAP queries because it greatly reduces the number of invocations per operator. Allows for operators to use vectorized (SIMD) instructions to process batches of tuples.



PLAN PROCESSING DIRECTION

Approach #1: Top-to-Bottom

- \rightarrow Start with the root and "pull" data up from its children.
- \rightarrow Tuples are always passed with function calls.

Approach #2: Bottom-to-Top

- \rightarrow Start with leaf nodes and push data to their parents.
- \rightarrow Allows for tighter control of caches/registers in pipelines.

ACCESS METHODS

An <u>access method</u> is a way that the DBMS can access the data stored in a table.

 \rightarrow Not defined in relational algebra.

Three basic approaches:

- \rightarrow Sequential Scan
- \rightarrow Index Scan
- \rightarrow Multi-Index / "Bitmap" Scan

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100





SEQUENTIAL SCAN

For each page in the table:

- \rightarrow Retrieve it from the buffer pool.
- \rightarrow Iterate over each tuple and check whether to include it.

The DBMS maintains an internal <u>cursor</u> that tracks the last page / slot it examined. for page in table.pages:
 for t in page.tuples:
 if evalPred(t):
 // Do Something!

SEQUENTIAL SCAN: OPTIMIZATIONS

This is almost always the worst thing that the DBMS can do to execute a query.

Sequential Scan Optimizations:

- \rightarrow Prefetching
- \rightarrow Buffer Pool Bypass
- \rightarrow Parallelization
- \rightarrow Zone Maps
- \rightarrow Late Materialization
- \rightarrow Heap Clustering



ZONE MAPS







Pre-computed aggregates for the attribute values in a page. DBMS checks the zone map first to decide whether it wants to access the page.



IMPALA

IBM. DB2.

N NETEZZA

SELECT * FROM table
WHERE val > 600



Zone Map

val	type
100	MIN
400	MAX
280	AVG
1400	SUM
5	COUNT





```
SELECT AVG(foo.c)
FROM foo JOIN bar
ON foo.b = bar.b
WHERE foo.a > 100
```















HEAP CLUSTERING

Tuples are sorted in the heap's pages using the order specified by a <u>clustering index</u>.

If the query accesses tuples using the clustering index's attributes, then the DBMS can jump directly to the pages that it needs.



INDEX SCAN

The DBMS picks an index to find the tuples that the query needs.

Which index to use depends on:

- \rightarrow What attributes the index contains
- \rightarrow What attributes the query references
- \rightarrow The attribute's value domains
- \rightarrow Predicate composition
- \rightarrow Whether the index has unique or non-unique keys



INDEX SCAN

Suppose that we a single table with 100 tuples and two indexes: → Index #1: age → Index #2: dept

Scenario #1

There are 99 people under the age of 30 but only 2 people in the CS department. SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'</pre>

Scenario #2

There are 99 people in the CS department but only 2 people under the age of 30.



MULTI-INDEX SCAN

If there are multiple indexes that the DBMS can use for a query:

- \rightarrow Compute sets of record ids using each matching index.
- → Combine these sets based on the query's predicates (union vs. intersect).
- \rightarrow Retrieve the records and apply any remaining predicates.

Postgres calls this **Bitmap Scan**.
- With an index on **age** and an index on **dept**,
- → We can retrieve the record ids satisfying age<30 using the first,</p>
- → Then retrieve the record ids satisfying dept='CS' using the second,
- \rightarrow Take their intersection

→ Retrieve records and check country='US'.

SELECT * FROM students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'US'</pre>











Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.



Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.





Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.



Retrieving tuples in the order that appear in an unclustered index is inefficient.





The DBMS represents a WHERE clause as an <u>expression tree</u>.

The nodes in the tree represent different expression types:

- \rightarrow Comparisons (=, <, >, !=)
- \rightarrow Conjunction (AND), Disjunction (OR)
- \rightarrow Arithmetic Operators (+, -, *, /, %)
- \rightarrow Constant Values
- \rightarrow Tuple Attribute References

SELECT R.id, S.cdate
FROM R JOIN S
ON R.id = S.id
WHERE S.value > 100









Execution Context



Execution Context



Execution Context



Execution Context





Execution Context



Execution Context





Execution Context





Evaluating predicates in this manner is slow.

→ The DBMS traverses the tree and for each node that it visits it must figure out what the operator needs to do.

Consider the predicate "WHERE 1=1"

A better approach is to just evaluate the expression directly. \rightarrow Think JIT compilation





CONCLUSION

The same query plan be executed in multiple ways.

(Most) DBMSs will want to use an index scan as much as possible.

Expression trees are flexible but slow.



NEXT CLASS

Parallel Query Execution

